

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,266	12/15/2000	Adalbert Feltz		8730	
759	90 02/18/2003				
Epping, Hermann & Fischer			EXAMINER		
Attn: Jacob Elsenberg Ridlerstrasse 55			BLACKWELL RUDAS	BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A	
Munich, D803 GERMANY	339		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ODIG:II II 1			1775	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •		Cil			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/736,266	FELTZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 E</u>	December 20 <u>02</u> .				
	is action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-44 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	o priority under 35 LLS C. 8 119/a)-(d) or (f)			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
<i>•</i> — •	s have been received				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The non-patent literature entitled "Ferroelectric Materials and Their Applications" has pages 120-123 missing from the relevant pages cited by applicant in Paper no. 13. Submission of those pages is necessary.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.52(c).

Applicant's remarks made in Paper no. 13 have been noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.



Art Unit: 1775

4. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent no. 5,233,260, Harada et al.

Harada discloses a stack type piezoelectric element wherein plates of single or plural layers of a metallic material, such as copper or its alloys, are used as electrodes and interleaved with piezoelectric ceramic sheets, meeting the requirements of claim 1, (column 1, lines 40-65). The ceramic has a perovskite type structure, meeting the requirements of claim 13, (column 5, lines 40-43).

5. Claims 1-2, 13-14, 19, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent no. 6,266,230 B1, Kato et al.

Kato et al disclose a multilayer ceramic capacitor that is formed by laminating electrode metal layers, such as copper and/or copper alloy, between ceramic layers. The ceramic layer has a perovskite structure. In addition, the element on the A position of the perovskite (ABO₃), structure is Pb or Pb and at least one of the alkaline earth elements and at least one element selected from Nb, Ta, and W. At least one kind of element from the group including Mg, Zn, Ni, Co, Ti, Zr, and Sn is located on the B site, (columns 3-4, lines 58-33). Furthermore, a binder is used to in the formation of the green sheets, meeting the requirements of claims 1-2, 13-14, 19, and 21-22, (column 8, lines 10-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/736,266

Art Unit: 1775

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-2, 4-13, 15-19, 21, 23-33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent no. 6,266,230 B1, Kato et al., further in view of United States Patent no. 4,917,810, Tsunooka et al.

Kato et al disclose the limitations of claims 1, 13, 19 and 21 above. Kato et al do not disclose the density of the ceramic layers, the grain size of the grains in the ceramic layers, number of electrode layers, or the varying compositions of the perovskite structure as exemplified by applicant.

Tsunooka et al disclose a piezoelectric composite material that can be used where "high piezoelectric properties may be required such as sonic transducers, physical property measurements, ferroelectric, pyroelectric or piezoelectric keyboard switches and so on," (column 26, lines 42-50). The composite contains ceramic powders that are "mixed with a wider variety of polymers," and molded into a shape, (column 5, lines 10-14). The particle size of the ceramic material ranges between 1-400 μ , (column 5, lines 28-38). As disclosed in the examples, in particular Example 1, the components of the ceramic powder should be 98% or higher in purity,

Application/Control Number: 09/736,266

Art Unit: 1775

(column 9, Example 1). Tsunooka et al also disclose that many different types of ceramic compositions that can be used. The perovskite structures that can be used are listed in columns 6-67, lines 62-67). For example, solid solutions of lead titanate zirconate are made. Along with the lead titanate zirconate other cations can be present, on the A position, La, Na, K, or Bi can be present. On the B position, Nb, Ta, Mg, Ni, Co, Fe, Sc, or W can be present.

Kato et al and Tsunooka et al disclose analogous inventions. Kato et al teaches a multilayer ceramic capacitor that utilizes a piezoelectric perovskite composition. The perovskite composition can be lead titanate zirconate. Tsunooka et al discloses a piezoelectric perovskite composition that can be used in many electrical devices, where the composition of the ceramic can be lead titanate zirconate. As such, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the ceramic capacitor of Kato et al with the ceramic composition of Tsunooka et al to manufacture an electrical device which is inexpensive to make, has thermal resistance and stable at high DC bias voltage, (Kato, column 3, lines 50-55).

Neither Kato et al nor Tsunooka et al specifically disclose the number of stacked layers as exemplified by applicant. However it is disclosed by Kato et al that green sheets of the ceramic should be alternately layered with metal electrodes, with the printing and lamination steps "repeated to obtain the required number of layers," (column 8, lines 17-31). Based upon the aforesaid information, it is within the ability of one skilled in the art at the time of invention through routine experimentation to optimize the number of layers that should be present in the electrical device.

9. Claims 1-3, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent no. 6,266,230 B1, Kato et al., further in view of United States Patent no. 4,128,489, Seo.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,266

Art Unit: 1775

Kato et al disclose the limitations of claims 1-2 and 13 above. Kato et al do not disclose that the polymer is a polyurethane or the specific composition of PZT.

Seo discloses a piezoelectric material that utilizes a urethane rubber in the polymer binder mix, (column 2, lines 35-36). Further examples of the specific polymer that can be used are listed in Table 9, column 9. In addition, Example 7, set out that the formula of PZT satisfies the equation Pb(Zr₂Ti_{1-x})O₃, (column 8, lines 4-5).

Kato et al and Seo disclose analogous inventions. Kato et al teaches a multilayer ceramic capacitor that utilizes a piezoelectric perovskite composition. The perovskite composition can be lead titanate zirconate. Seo discloses a piezoelectric perovskite composition that can be used in many electrical devices, (column 2, lines 1-3), where the composition of the ceramic can be lead titanate zirconate. As such it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Kato et al with the lead titanate zirconate composition of Seo to produce an electrical device having high piezoelectric modulus and reduced anisotropy of piezoelectric modulus, (Seo, column 1, lines 43-50).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed January 31, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant sets forth several arguments regarding the claimed subject matter being distinct from the prior art. The first argument is that invention of United States Patent no. 5,233,260, Harada et al is not the same as the invention of the present application because of different processing steps. The claimed subject matter of claims 1 and 13 do not contain process parameters. The claims are drawn to a device that has a certain structure. Harada et al discloses

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/736,266

Art Unit: 1775

that structure with the components as exemplified by applicant. As such, the product of claims 1 and 13 are not distinct from the prior art.

Applicant also contends that United States Patent no. 6,266,230, Kato et al, discloses that inner electrode material can only be used at temperature below 1150°C, (column 12, 39-41). This only relates to the one example. Kato et al disclose that "the electrode metal is selected depending on the temperature at which a dielectric is sintered and atmosphere conditions," (column 1, line 54-56). Kato et al also disclose that the material used is copper or a copper alloy, (column 4, lines 27-33). Polyvinyl butyral (PVB) is used to demonstrate that it is known to added a binder to the ceramic green foils. Kato et al does not disclose anything about the atmosphere or the fact that using copper will result in oxidized copper. One example as demonstrated by Kato et al with the use of PVB does not necessarily mean that PVB will be used with copper. In addition, applicant has indicated that copper can remain stable under conditions around 1000°C under a low oxygen partial pressure, (specification, page5, lines 15-16). Absent evidence to the contrary that the invention set forth by Kato et al would not provide the structure as exemplified by applicant, the product claims 1-2, 4-13, 15-19, 21, 23-33, and 35 are not distinct from the prior art.

Applicant further contend that the obviousness rejections are moot because Kato et al is not relevant and the secondary references do not make up the missing teaching of Kato et al. Because the arguments against the use of Kato et al are not persuasive, the obviousness rejections will also stand.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/736,266

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

United States Patent no. 6,232,701, disclose the piezoelectric component with copper electrodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill whose telephone number is (703) 305-9741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill

Examiner Art Unit 1775

gbr

February 10, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER